



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/766,842

01/30/2004

Rabih Abou-Chakra

Q79655

3849

23373 7590 07/27/2011  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

BARON, HENRY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2462

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/27/2011

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com  
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM  
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/766,842	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ABOU-CHAKRA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HENRY BARON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2462	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Detailed Action***

**AUDIO AND VIDEO DATA PROCESSING DEVICE FOR MULTIMEDIA  
COMMUNICATION VIA A LOCAL NETWORK SET UP WITHIN AN  
ASYNCHRONOUS NETWORK**

***Response to Arguments/Remarks***

1. Claims 1 – 13 and 15 – 16 are pending in the application.
2. An Advisory action has been sent to the Applicant citing the obvious double patenting (ODP) issue as the outstanding issue.
3. The Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer on 6/29/2011. The Examiner withdraws the ODP rejection.
4. As prosecution is still open, the Examiner has updated search and submits the following action.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1 – 7, 10 and 15 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Danneels et al (U.S. Patent 5663951), hereafter Danneels, in view of Kerr (U.S. Patent 5,844,600).
7. In consideration of claim 1, Danneels teaches of an audio and video data processing device for multimedia communication across an asynchronous network. (Fig 1; Figure Element (FE) 100, FE 110) between a first pair of audio communication terminal (FE 104 and 108) and video communication

Art Unit: 2462

terminal (FE 102 and 106) and a like second pair (FE 100; Conferencing System B) where the terminals are LAN type (4: [0050+]) with connection means for setting up video and audio link of the two pairs (Figure 1; read analog video and audio, Conferencing System A and B) and video and audio links between the two pairs (FE 110 and 4: [0050-0063] Each conferencing system 100 receives, digitizes, and compresses the analog video signals generated by camera 102 and the analog audio signals generated by microphone 104. The compressed digital video and audio signals are transmitted to the other conferencing system via network 110, where they are decompressed and converted for play on monitor 106 and speaker 108, respectively. In addition, each conferencing system 100 may generate and transmit data signals to the other conferencing system 100 for play on monitor 106. The video and data signals are displayed in different windows on monitor 106. Each conferencing system 100 may also display the locally generated video signals in a separate window). The nature of audio and video signals are typically asynchronous, i.e. not synchronous as demonstrated in speech and images, thus the audio and video terminals are asynchronous and wherein the connection means synchronizes audio and video data according to a delay. (2:[0014] read [a] first subset of the data packets i.e. audio packets, is transmitted from the local node to a remote node, and then a subsequent subset of the data packets i.e. video packets, is transmitted from the local node to the remote node after a delay to avoid overloading the remote node with data packets )

8. However Danneels does not disclose, but Kerr teaches where the video packets are transmitted without delay, and the audio packets are delayed for a predetermined time period. (see column 2 row 54 According to one aspect of the invention, various different synchronization mechanisms for the audio and video data streams can be utilized, ranging from mechanisms which are simple to implement, to mechanisms which require significant information about the network. For example, a simple synchronization mechanism assumes that the delay in the network (i.e., transmission times) for the audio and video are substantially identical, and that the video (de)coding delay is greater than the audio

Art Unit: 2462

(de)coding delay by a substantially known amount (e.g., 50-80 milliseconds). In this situation, synchronization is obtained by delaying the audio by the substantially known amount (e.g., 65 milliseconds).

1. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made by a person of to having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Danneels with the teachings of Kerr where the video packets are transmitted without delay, and the audio packets are delayed for a predetermined time period in order for the end user to perceive simultaneous signal delivery of both audio and video.

9. In regards to claim 16, Danneels modified teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein the video data is transmitted from one of the first video communication terminal and the second video communication terminal to a receiving terminal one of the first video communication terminal and the second video communication terminal without delay, and the audio data is delayed by a predetermined time before being transmitted to a receiving audio communication terminal as the delays between the video and audio streams are relative.

10. With regards to claim 2, Danneels does not teach the device according to claim 1 with first dating means arranged to attach a transmit time mark and an identifier to audio and video data; coming from the first audio and video communication terminal before their transmission to the second pair via the said local network and to attach a receive time mark to the audio and video data coming from the second pair and containing an identifier and a transmit time mark, and their own processing means to determine a time difference representing the transmission time difference between the received audio and video data and presenting the same identifier from their respective transmit and receive time marks to delay by a value representing the time difference the transmission of the received audio data at the first audio communication terminal in relation to the transmission of the received video data at the first video communication terminal. Thus, Danneels does not teach connection means synchronizes audio and video data according to a delay.

Art Unit: 2462

11. Kerr teaches of a device with first dating means that attaches a transmit time mark and an identifier to audio and video (A/V) data before their transmission to the second like A/V terminal pair. (Section 2; Media Synchronization model; read data as media unit; transmit time mark as timestamp; audio and video data as M media streams I – M, Figure 1) across the local network (see column 3 row 13 read Rather than applying a predetermined delay, clock information (e.g., a time stamp) can be provided in the video data stream in accord with a second synchronization technique. By comparing the incoming clock information to local clock information, the video coding delay can be determined, and applied to the audio data stream (which is assumed to be minimal). Alternatively, if the audio coding delay is known, the difference between the determined video delay and the known audio delay can be applied to the audio signal.
12. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Danneels with the synchronization teachings of Kerri.
13. This modification would be advantageous as it would permit the video and audio packets received across an asynchronous network to be synchronized and presented to the end user in concert.
14. With regards to claims 3 – 4, and 15, Danneels does not of a device with processing means arranged to determine a time difference (ET) representing the transmission time difference and a coding and decoding time difference between the received audio and video data, presenting the same identifier and synchronization of audio and video occurs once at the connection means and once at the pair.
15. Kerr teaches of media stream coding and decoding performed in the application layer that are received at synchronization service access point. Further, Kerr teaches of the concept of inter-stream synchronization between master/slave streams. (see column 3 row 21 A third, and more complex mechanism for synchronization involves inserting a clock sample (time stamp) in the digitized audio and video streams. At the audio bridge, the time stamp which relates to the video which is to be received at a particular terminal is utilized in conjunction with the mixed audio stream. Then, at the receiving end, the

Art Unit: 2462

received video and audio stream time stamps are extracted and compared to local clocks, and a delay representing the differential of the comparisons is calculated and applied (preferably to the audio path).)

16. With regards to claims 5 and 7, Danneels teaches of audio and video links that are of a “deterministic” type in Figure 1; i.e. links between FE 102,106 and FE100 video; links between FE 104,108 and FE 100.

17. In reference to claim 6, Danneels does not teach processing means arranged so as to determine the time difference from the transmit and receive time markings of the received audio and video data, and from values representing their respective transmission times between the connection means and the first audio and video communication terminals for which they are intended.

18. Ishibashi teaches of media stream coding and decoding performed in the application layer (Figure 1) that are received at a common synchronization service access point (SSAP). Danneels teaches of audio and video links that are of a “deterministic” type in Figure 1 whose delays can be sent to the SSAP. Further, Ishibashi teaches that time difference can be derived from time markings i.e. timestamps between tightly coupled media-streams such as audio and video (Section 4.2.1 and Figure 4). Further, Ishibashi teaches of the concept of inter-stream synchronization between master/slave streams. Because of relative shorter period required to process audio data, the audio stream represents the master stream, the video the slave stream (Page 1011).

19. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Danneels with the synchronization teachings of Ishibashi.

20. This modification would be advantageous as it would further facilitate the video and audio packets received across an asynchronous network to be synchronized and presented to the end user in concert.

21. With regard to claim 10, Danneel’s Conference System (Figure 1, FE 100) represents a connection means that provides a proxy type function for audio and video data to the network LAN.

Art Unit: 2462

22. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Danneels et al (U.S. Patent 5663951), hereafter Danneels in view of Kerr et al, (U.S. Patent 5,844,600),, in view of Davies (U.S. Patent 7043749) and in further view of Little et al, Network and Operating Systems Support for Digital Audio and Video: Proceedings, 5th International Workshop on Network and Operating Systems Support for Digital Audio and Video, Springer 1995, hereafter Little.

23. With respect to claims 8 – 9, Danneels and Kerr teach the limitations of claim 2 but are silent in teaching assignment of priority levels to audio and video data to be transmitted or assigning a lower priority to video data relative to audio data.

24. By contrast, Little teaches in the traffic characteristics and flow control that video streams have lower priority then higher priority audio, i.e. read jitter as characteristic of audio streams. (Page 168 - 169; Traffic Characteristics and Flow Control section).

25. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify inter-stream synchronization between audio and teachings of Danneels and Ishibashi with the audio visual (A/V) priority teachings of Little.

26. By transporting audio streams across a network with a higher priority relative to its companion video stream, the random arrival time of A/V packets at the destination port is mitigated and the distribution of both packet classes are more tightly bounded. This is ultimately advantageous in improving the synchronization of the two data streams.

27. Claims 11 – 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Danneels et al (U.S. Patent 5663951), hereafter Danneels in view of Kerr et al, (U.S. Patent 5,844,600),, and in further view of Keshab et al, Digital Signal Processing for Multimedia Systems, CRC Press 1999 pg 245 and 274, hereafter Keshab.

28. With regards to claim 11 – 13 Danneels and Kerr teach the limitations of claim 1 but are silent in teaching of processing device for an audio, video, or communication unit.

Art Unit: 2462

29. Keshab teaches, circa 1999, of recent developments of microprocessors and DSP chips that provide audio and video processing capabilities (page 245, 2nd paragraph). Further, Keshab teaches that developments of microprocessors can be also be used in wireless communications (page 274, 3rd paragraph).

30. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the inter-stream synchronization between audio and teachings of Danneels and Ishibashi incorporating a digital processing device in the video, audio, and communication unit.

31. Processing audio and video signals with a DSP in the video and audio communication unit improves the fidelity of A/V data and digital processing of communication signals efficiently utilizes bandwidth.

### ***Conclusion***

32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HENRY BARON whose telephone number is (571)270-1748. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM E.S.T. Monday to Friday.

33. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

34. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Application/Control Number: 10/766,842

Page 9

Art Unit: 2462

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. B./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2462

**HB**

/Kevin C. Harper/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2462